Flavour Structure of GUTs and Uncertainties in Proton Lifetime Estimates

Helena Kolešová[1,2,*] and Michal Malinský[2,†]

[1] *Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Břehová 7, 115 19 Praha 1, Czech Republic*

[2] *Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, V Holešovičkách 2, 180 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic*

We study the flavour aspects of proton lifetime estimates in simple Grand unified models paying particular attention to their potential fragility due to the notorious lack of control of some of the key parameters governing the relevant hard-process amplitudes. Theoretical uncertainties inherent to the most common approaches to dealing with these issues in renormalizable models are studied and their robustness with respect to a wide class of Planck-induced flavour effects is estimated.

arXiv:1612.09178v1 [hep-ph] 29 Dec 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

Mortality of protons is one of the most prominent smoking-gun signals of the idea that strong and electroweak interactions may be just different facets of a unified gauge dynamics at a super-large (YeV) scale. Since its conception in mid 1970's [1] there has been a number of attempts to estimate proton lifetime at vastly different levels of accuracy characterized, namely, by the steadily improving quality of the input data, progress in the field theory calculation techniques, better understanding of the hadronic matrix elements and so on.

With the upcoming generation of dedicated experimental searches planned with the Hyper-K and/or DUNE facilities [2, 3], which should be able to push the current lower limits (e.g., $\tau_p > 1.4 \times 10^{34}$ y in the "golden" $p \to \pi^0 e^+$ channel [4]) by as much as one order of magnitude, the importance of a good quality prediction becomes particularly pronounced.

From this perspective, the current status of the theory affairs is far from satisfactory. Barring the non-perturbative nature of the hadronic layer, even at the level of the underlying "hard" processes, i.e., with amplitudes featuring quarks rather than hadrons as initial and final states, good quality calculations turn out to be an endeavour of enormous complexity. Indeed, the simple structure of the basic baryon and lepton number violating (BLNV) vector currents[1] even in the minimal Georgi-Glashow $SU(5)$ model [1], as simple as it reads,

$$\mathcal{L}_{SU(5)} \propto \overline{u^c}\gamma^\mu X_\mu^\dagger Q + \overline{e^c}\gamma^\mu X_\mu Q + \dots , \qquad (1)$$

encompasses a great deal of arbitrariness (in the mass of the leptoquark X_μ, to be identified with the GUT scale M_G, and, in particular, in the flavour structure emerging when these currents are recast in the quark and lepton mass basis) that may be only partially reflected in the currently accessible low-energy observables.

Concerning the relative impact of uncertainties in these basic parameters on the proton lifetime estimates, the most critical of these is the value of M_G which is determined from the requirement of a proper coalescence of the three Standard Model (SM) gauge couplings at (about) that scale. To this end, note that the logarithmic nature of the gauge running makes even a small error in the low-energy boundary (or high-scale matching) conditions propagate into M_G exponentially. Hence, at least[2] a two-loop analysis including a detailed treatment of one-loop threshold corrections both at M_Z as well as at M_G is essential in order to keep the uncertainties in the proton lifetime within reasonable control [5, 6]; needless to say, this is a highly technically demanding task in practice.

Second in the row is the high degree of uncertainty in the flavour structure of the BLNV charged currents which is namely due to the generic lack of low-energy access to the current-to-mass-basis rotations in the sector of right-handed fermions (the CKM and PMNS matrices are combinations of the left-handed ones only). If no extra information (such as, e.g., symmetry features of some of the fermionic mass matrices) is available, the total freedom in these unitary transformations is usually enough to spread the outcome of the proton lifetime calculation over many orders of magnitude.

In this respect, it is remarkable that the classical showstopper of the past, namely, the uncertainties in the hadronic matrix elements, have recently got tamed to such a degree (with typical errors pulled down to few tens of percent) that, nowadays, they can be safely placed as only third in the row, see, e.g., [7] and references therein.

With this basic hierarchy at hand, one can perform a simple classification of the robustness of the most commonly followed strategies in predicting proton lifetime: 1) The first attempt usually consists in the renormalization group (RG) analysis of the gauge unification con-

* helena.kolesova@fjfi.cvut.cz

† malinsky@ipnp.troja.mff.cuni.cz

[1] In this study we will focus predominantly on the vector-boson-mediated amplitudes as those mediated by the colored scalar exchange are often suppressed due to the usual suppression of their couplings to the first-generation quarks and leptons.

[2] Let us note that the uncertainty in the low scale value of the strong coupling induces a bigger error than omitting the three and higher loop contributions to the gauge running; hence, at the moment, two-loop precision is the maximum one can do.

straints which provides information about M_G but often ignores the flavour structure of the BLNV vector currents, typically because the scalar sector of the model is not fully fixed or analyzed. Hence, the uncertainties of thus obtained proton lifetime estimates are generally huge, stretching over many orders of magnitude. This, however, to a large extent hinders the prospects of discrimination among different scenarios. 2) Sometimes, a great deal of information may be derived from the symmetry features of the effective fermion mass matrices even without performing their detailed fit (usually very demanding), see, e.g., [8–10]. In specific scenarios like, e.g., in the minimal realistic SU(5) models, this may be enough to draw rather accurate conclusions about at least some of the partial decay widths (though often not for the "golden" channel); the potential to discriminate among such models is obviously much higher then. 3) The ultimate achievement would be clearly a full-fledged combined analysis of the running together with a detailed Yukawa sector fit. This, however, is very difficult in practice and only very few such attempts have been undertaken in the literature, see, e.g., [11].

Nevertheless, even in the most favourable situation of case 3) above there is often an extra source of large and essentially irreducible uncertainties plaguing any proton lifetime estimate obtained in the realm of the simplest renormalizable models, namely, the effects of the higher dimensional effective operators, especially those including the scalar field (to be denoted S) responsible for the GUT-scale symmetry breaking, i.e., the one with the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the order of M_G.

At first glance, there is a number of such structures to be considered at the $d = 5$ level (with the ordering reflecting their expected "nuisance" power[3]), e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1 &\equiv \kappa_1 X^{\mu\nu} X_{\mu\nu} S/M_{Pl} \\ \mathcal{O}_2 &\equiv \kappa_2^{ij} f_i f_j H S/M_{Pl} \\ \mathcal{O}_3 &\equiv \kappa_3^{kl} f_k \not{D} f_l S/M_{Pl} \\ \mathcal{O}_4 &\equiv \kappa_4 D_\mu \Phi D^\mu \Phi S/M_{Pl}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In the formulae above $X_{\mu\nu}$ stands for the gauge field tensor, f_i denote matter fermions, Φ is a generic scalar field, H is a scalar containing the SM Higgs doublet, and κ_k denote (generally unknown) $\mathcal{O}(1)$ couplings; for the sake of simplicity the spinorial structure has been suppressed. It is important to notice that not all of these are, however, independent structures from the low-energy effective theory point of view: $\mathcal{O}_3$ and $\mathcal{O}_4$ may be removed from the effective operator basis by use of equations of motion and/or by integrations by parts, see, e.g., [12]. Hence, in what follows, we shall focus entirely on the $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ types of the $d = 5$ structures.

[3] In the broken phase their role can be roughly characterized as: a gauge-kinetic-form altering operator ($\mathcal{O}_1$), a Yukawa-altering structure ($\mathcal{O}_2$), a gauge-vertex-like correction ($\mathcal{O}_3$) and a scalar-kinetic-form altering operator ($\mathcal{O}_4$), respectively.

In spite of the fact that, in the broken phase, they usually affect their renormalizable-level couterparts (namely, the gauge-kinetic forms and the Yukawa couplings) at only a relatively small – order 1% – level (given by the typical ratio of $\langle S\rangle \sim M_G \sim 10^{16}\,\mathrm{GeV}$ and the Planck scale $M_{Pl} \sim 10^{18}\,\mathrm{GeV}$) they can have truly devastating consequences for the robustness of the renormalizable-level results[4]. To this end, the most dangerous is $\mathcal{O}_1$ which has been studied thoroughly in many works, see e.g. [13, 14]. Its main effect, i.e., an inhomogeneous shift in the high-scale gauge matching conditions, can inflict a non-negligible shift in the exponent of the functional dependence of the M_G/M_Z ratio which, even for 1% shifts in the matching, may change M_G by as much as an order of magnitude and, hence, alter τ_p by several orders.

Concerning $\mathcal{O}_2$, there are many studies in the literature (like, e.g., [15–17]) in which non-renormalizable contributions to the Yukawa couplings have been added to an originally renormalizable Lagrangian on purpose, usually with the aim to save a renormalizable model with badly non-realistic Yukawa sector (like in the minimal $SU(5)$ model [1]). Needless to say, this approach is orthogonal to the line of thaughts we want to pursue here, namely, focusing on the potential impact of a-priori unknown Planck-suppressed operators on existing renormalizable-level predictions, hence, testing their overall robustness.

In this study, we concentrate on the stability of the tree-level gauge-boson-mediated contributions to the proton decay in the simplest renormalizable unified models based on the $SU(5)$ [1], flipped-$SU(5)$ [18–20] and $SO(10)$ [21] gauge groups with respect to several types of uncertainties, either due to the lack of any (or part of the) information about their flavour structure, or due to the presence of only mildly suppressed (up to order 1%) Planck-scale induced operators of the $\mathcal{O}_2$ type above.

To this end, we first (in Section II) recapitulate the basic analytic observations made by Dorsner and Fileviez-Perez [8–10] in the realm of the generic $SU(5)$, flipped-$SU(5)$ and $SO(10)$ scenarios and complement them with an explicit numerical simulation of the relevant formulae revealing, e.g., an extra room for large cancellation effects in $SO(10)$ GUTs; we will show how these can in some cases boost the uncertainties beyond naive expectation. In Section III, we perform a thorough numerical analysis of the robustness of few specific types of renormalizable-level proton lifetime estimates with respect to the effects inflicted by the presence of the M_{Pl}-induced Yukawa-altering $d = 5$ non-renormalizable operators.

[4] Remarkably, both $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ enter the proton lifetime prediction business in more than one way; for instance, $\mathcal{O}_1$ affects not only the mass of the vector and scalar mediators determined from the gauge unification constraints but also the value of the unified gauge coupling; similarly, $\mathcal{O}_2$ inflicts not only changes in the unitary matrices diagonalizing the masses and, hence, in the BLVN vector currents coupled to the relevant vector mediators, but at the same time, it directly affects also the colour scalar triplet couplings to matter and, hence, the scalar-driven transitions.

II. FLAVOUR STRUCTURE OF TREE-LEVEL GAUGE-MEDIATED AMPLITUDES

A. Partial decay widths

Focusing on tree-level amplitudes mediated by heavy vector bosons arising from terms like (1) in the Lagrangian the relevant partial proton decay widths can be written as[5] [22]

$$\Gamma(p\to\pi^0 e^+_\beta) = C_\pi \left\{ \left|c(e_\beta, d^C)\right|^2 + \left|c(e^C_\beta, d)\right|^2 \right\}, \quad (3)$$

$$\Gamma(p\to\eta e^+_\beta) = C_\eta \left\{ \left|c(e_\beta, d^C)\right|^2 + \left|c(e^C_\beta, d)\right|^2 \right\}, \quad (4)$$

$$\Gamma(p\to K^0 e^+_\beta) = C_K\, B_1^2 \left\{ \left|c(e_\beta, s^C)\right|^2 + \left|c(e^C_\beta, s)\right|^2 \right\}, \quad (5)$$

$$\Gamma(p\to\pi^+\overline{\nu}) = 2C_\pi \sum_{l=1}^{3} \left|c(\nu_l, d, d^C)\right|^2 , \quad (6)$$

$$\Gamma(p\to K^+\overline{\nu}) = C_K \sum_{l=1}^{3} \left|B_2\, c(\nu_l, d, s^C) + B_3\, c(\nu_l, s, d^C)\right|^2 . \quad (7)$$

The flavour independent prefactors are given by

$$C_\pi = \frac{m_p}{16\pi f_\pi^2} A_L^2 |\alpha|^2 (1+D+F)^2$$

$$C_\eta = \frac{(m_p^2-m_\eta^2)^2}{48\pi m_p^3 f_\pi^2} A_L^2 |\alpha|^2 (1+D-3F)^2$$

$$C_K = \frac{(m_p^2-m_K^2)^2}{8\pi m_p^3 f_\pi^2} A_L^2 |\alpha|^2 , \quad B_1 = 1+\frac{m_p}{m_B}(D-F)$$

$$B_2 = \frac{2m_p}{3m_B} D \qquad B_3 = 1+\frac{m_p}{3m_B}(D+3F)$$

where m_p, m_η and m_K denote the proton, η and kaon mass, respectively, m_B is an average baryon mass ($m_B \approx m_\Sigma \approx m_\Lambda$), f_π is the pion decay constant, $|\alpha|$, D and F are the parameters of the chiral Lagrangian, and A_L takes into account the renormalization from M_Z to 1 GeV. Note also that since the neutrinos in the final state are not detected, incoherent summation over their flavours is performed; for similar reasons it is also summed over the chirality of the charged leptons in the final state. The c-amplitudes above obey

$$c(e_\alpha, d^C_\beta) = k_1^2 (U_C^\dagger U)_{11} (D_C^\dagger E)_{\beta\alpha} + k_2^2 (D_C^\dagger U)_{\beta 1} (U_C^\dagger E)_{1\alpha}, \quad (8)$$

$$c(e^C_\alpha, d_\beta) = k_1^2 [(U_C^\dagger U)_{11} (E_C^\dagger D)_{\alpha\beta} + (U_C^\dagger D)_{1\beta} (E_C^\dagger U)_{\alpha 1}], \quad (9)$$

$$c(\nu_l, d_\alpha, d^C_\beta) = k_1^2 (U_C^\dagger D)_{1\alpha} (D_C^\dagger N)_{\beta l} + k_2^2 (D_C^\dagger D)_{\beta\alpha} (U_C^\dagger N)_{1l}, \quad (10)$$

[5] We assume here that neutrinos are Majorana and some form of a seesaw mechanism is in operation, hence ν_R is too heavy to be produced in proton decay. Should neutrinos be Dirac the sensitivity of the proton widths is less pronounced, see e.g. [8].

where $k_i = g_G/(\sqrt{2}M_i)$ with g_G denoting the universal gauge coupling at the GUT scale and $M_{1,2}$ encoding the masses of the heavy vectors with the $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ quantum numbers $(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2},5/6)$ and $(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{2},-1/6)$, respectively. In the flipped-$SU(5)$ scenario only the latter is present and, hence, $k_1 = 0$; similarly, $k_2 = 0$ in case of the ordinary $SU(5)$. In the $SO(10)$ GUTs both $k_{1,2}$ are non-zero. The unitary rotations entering there are defined as

$$Y_d^{\rm diag} = D_C^T Y_d D\,, \quad Y_u^{\rm diag} = U_C^T Y_u U\,, \quad (11)$$
$$Y_e^{\rm diag} = E_C^T Y_e E\,, \quad Y_\nu^{\rm diag} = N_C^T Y_\nu N,$$

or, generically, $Y_f^{\rm diag} = F_C^T Y_f F$, where Y_f are the relevant effective SM Yukawa matrices (in the RL basis) which, in their diagonal form $Y_f^{\rm diag}$ (and after multiplication by the electroweak VEV), yield the physical masses of the fermions of type f at[6] M_G. Let us note that if some of the mass matrices happen to be symmetric (as, e.g., in case of Majorana neutrinos), then the LH and RH rotations are identical.

Up to a possible multiplication by phase factors the LH rotations in (8)-(10) are correlated to the physical CKM and PMNS matrices via

$$V_{CKM} = K_1 U^\dagger D K_2 \equiv K_1 \tilde{V}_{CKM} K_2\,,$$
$$V_{PMNS} = K_3 E^\dagger N K_4 \equiv K_3 \tilde{V}_{PMNS} K_4\,. \quad (12)$$

where the tilded quantities correspond to their "raw" form, i.e., the form before the freedom in the phase redefinition of the fermionic fields[7] has been exploited. Note that, in general, these are also the *only* experimental constraints on the rotations in (11) one has; without extra information about the flavour structure of a particular model U_C, D_C and E_C (assuming Majorana ν's) are completely free.

B. Model independent constraints

With this information at hand, number of semi-analytic observations about the relative sizes of the uncertainties plaguing the partial widths[8] (3)-(7) due to the lack of grip on most of the flavour structures therein has been made [8, 9] even without any extra model-dependent assumptions on the shape of the mixing matrices in (11).

[6] For simplicity, in this study we shall entirely neglect the effects of the Yukawa running; this is justified by their relative mildness with respect to the other effects of our interest here.

[7] Here K_1 and K_3 contain 3 phases, K_2 contains 2 phases and K_4 is a unit matrix in case of Majorana neutrinos or contains up to 2 phases if they are Dirac.

[8] Here it is implicitly assumed that the rates into final states with higher spin mesons will be suppressed; moreover, their flavour structure is essentially the same as for the spin zero modes (3)-(7) and, hence, qualitative changes of the results are not expected.

1. *SU(5) GUTs* ($k_2 = 0$)

In the standard $SU(5)$ GUTs a generic upper limit on the proton lifetime can be obtained by noticing that even the large degree of freedom in the flavour structures mentioned above is not sufficient to zero out all the partial amplitudes (8)-(10) simultaneously. Indeed, in attempting to do so, $(U_C^\dagger D)_{1\alpha}$ would have to vanish for $\alpha = 1, 2$ together with $(U_C^\dagger U)_{11} = 0$. However, $(U_C^\dagger U)^\dagger (U_C^\dagger D) = U^\dagger D \equiv \tilde{V}_{CKM} = K_1^\dagger V_{CKM} K_2^\dagger$ and thus

$$(U_C^\dagger D)_{1\alpha} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} (U_C^\dagger U)_{1j} (\tilde{V}_{CKM})_{j\alpha} = 0$$

is required for $\alpha = 1, 2$ which implies (due to the unitarity of $\tilde{V}_{CKM}$) that the first row of $U_C^\dagger U$ can differ from the third column of $\tilde{V}_{CKM}$ by a phase factor only; therefore $|(U_C^\dagger U)_{11}| = |(V_{CKM})_{13}| \approx 0.003 \neq 0$.

On the other hand, one can still have $(U_C^\dagger D)_{1\alpha} = 0$ for $\alpha = 1, 2$ together with $|(U_C^\dagger U)_{11}| \approx \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ which admits up to about $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ suppression of the c-coefficients in equations (8)-(10) and, hence, up to some $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ suppression of the total decay width (see FIG. 1), which can "hide" proton decay from the current experiments even if the unification scale would be as low as 10^{14} GeV.[9]

2. *Flipped SU(5) GUTs* ($k_1 = 0$)

Remarkably enough, with $k_1 = 0$ all the coefficients (8)-(10) can be pushed to zero if one arranges for $(U_C^\dagger E)_{1\alpha} = 0$ for $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $(D_C^\dagger D)_{\beta\alpha} = 0$ for the combinations $\{\beta, \alpha\} = \{1,1\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,1\}$. This can be done easily if there is no correlation among the LH and RH rotations which, however, may not be the case in the most minimal models, see below.

3. *SO(10) GUTs* ($k_1 \neq 0$, $k_2 \neq 0$)

In general, one can expect a lower bound on $(U_C^\dagger U)_{11}$ as in the $SU(5)$ case above to apply here as well which, in turn, might suggest the same $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ suppression of the total proton decay width in generic $SO(10)$ GUTs.

However, with both k_1 and k_2 at play, destructive interference effects may sometimes occur in all the coefficients (8)-(10) which would make the total proton decay width even smaller than that, see FIG. 1 for a numerical simulation (with $M_1 = M_2$ assumed for simplicity[10]). This may be understood as a mere incarnations of the general rule that frameworks with more freedom are just more difficult to test.



FIG. 1. Total proton lifetime (in blue) for different choices of the flavour-dependent coefficients (8)-(10) is displayed for different gauge groups (with $M_{1,2} \sim 0.3 \times 10^{16}$ GeV generally assumed in order for the current SK bound on the partial width in the $p \to \pi^0 e^+$ channel to be saturated in the $SO(10)$ case, see also FIG. 2). The red bars correspond to "minimal" renormalizable scenarios which, in all cases of our interest, feature extra correlations among the relevant mixings due to, e.g., symmetry of the underlying Yukawa matrices in certain sectors, see Section II C.

C. Minimal renormalizable settings

In scenarios in which the scalar sector is specified (like, e.g., in minimal renormalizable models) extra correlations among the flavour rotations (11) are often in operation. If, for instance, all Yukawa matrices happen to be symmetric (like, e.g., in some minimal $SO(10)$ settings) the RH and LH rotations are strongly correlated and significant simplifications may occur in formulae (8)-(10). Moreover, in some cases the constraints on the Yukawa sector may be so strong that its realistic realizations become non-trivial; this, in turn, leads to a very constrained set of possible shapes of the mixings and, thus, firm proton lifetime estimates.

[9] Similarly one can set $(U_C^\dagger U)_{11} = 0$ and $(U_C^\dagger D)_{1\alpha} \neq 0$ for $\alpha = 1$ or 2, then $(U_C^\dagger D)_{1\alpha} \to 0$ for $(V_{CKM})_{13} = 0$ and again $(U_C^\dagger D)_{1\alpha} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$, see [8].

[10] This is justified by the fact that for $M_1 \ll M_2$ or $M_1 \gg M_2$ one of the two previously discussed cases is effectively recovered.

1. Minimal SU(5) GUTs ($k_2 = 0$)

In the simplest SU(5) models[11] the symmetry of the fermionic $10\otimes 10$ bilinear in the flavour space implies that the up-quark Yukawa matrix is symmetric and, hence, $U = U_C$. In this case the partial widths with (unidentified) neutrinos in the final state become entirely driven by the CKM matrix elements [23]:

$$\Gamma(p \to \pi^+ \overline{\nu}) = 2C_\pi \, k_1^4 \, |(V_{CKM})_{11}|^2 \tag{13}$$

$$\Gamma(p \to K^+ \overline{\nu}) = C_K \times \tag{14}$$
$$\times \, k_1^4 \left(B_2^2 \, |(V_{CKM})_{11}|^2 + B_3^2 \, |(V_{CKM})_{12}|^2 \right).$$

This is part of the reason why the uncertainty in the total proton lifetime shrinks considerably (see FIG. 1) even without explicit Yukawa sector fits at hand.

Let us note, however, that in reality the basic $SU(5)$ flavour structure considered above should be extended in order to deal with the down-type-quark–charged-lepton degeneracy issues. New fields (such as adjoint fermions [24] or higher-tensor scalars [17, 25]) are usually employed for that sake; alternatively, one can make use of higher-dimensional operators [15]. In either case the exact symmetry of Y_u is often lifted. In that sense the arguments above are to be taken as purely illustrative.

2. Minimal flipped SU(5) GUTs ($k_1 = 0$)

In the flipped $SU(5)$ scenario, the RH quark field d^C is swapped with u^C and, hence, it is the down-type Yukawa that gets symmetric in the minimal settings which implies $D = D_C$. This leads [23] to very simple relations

$$\Gamma(p \to \pi^+ \overline{\nu}) = 2C_\pi \, k_2^4 \,, \tag{15}$$
$$\Gamma(p \to K^+ \overline{\nu}) = 0.$$

As before, this extra correlation between the rotations (11) leads to a significant reduction of the uncertainty in the proton lifetime estimates even if nothing more is said about the individual Yukawa flavour structures, see again FIG. 1.

3. Minimal SO(10) GUTs ($k_1 \neq 0$, $k_2 \neq 0$)

The minimal potentially realistic choice of the scalar fields shaping the Yukawa sector of the renormalizable SO(10) GUTs corresponds to a 10-dimensional vector and a 126-dimensional 5-index antisymmetric self-dual tensor. Both these yield symmetric Yukawa couplings and, thus, *all* RH rotations in (11) are strongly correlated with the LH ones. This leads again to formula (14) for the charged kaon final state, together with

$$\Gamma(p \to \pi^+ \overline{\nu}) = 2C_\pi \times$$
$$\times \left\{ k_1^4 \, |(V_{CKM})_{11}|^2 + k_2^4 + 2k_1^2 k_2^2 \, |(V_{CKM})_{11}|^2 \right\}.$$

Again, even without dealing further with explicit flavour fits the uncertainty in the total proton lifetime shrinks enormously.

On the other hand, the $SO(10)$ GUTs are rather special in this perspective because there are typically not only "horizontal" but also "vertical" correlations in the flavour space, i.e., it is not only the symmetry of the individual Yukawas but also the strong sum rules connecting fermions with different charges that may be exploited. In other words, the corresponding Yukawa fits are much more constrained and, thus, more often attempted, see e.g. [26, 27].

This, to a large extent, is a distinguishing feature of the minimal $SO(10)$ unifications and, as we will see, it turns out that their predictions based on specific fits are often particularly robust (especially when the $\mathcal{O}_1$ effects can be dealt with, cf. [6]) even when the Pandora box of non-renormalizable operators is opened.

III. $d = 5$ PLANCK-SCALE FLAVOR EFFECTS

The main source of flavour effects foreseen to affect the leading-order proton lifetime estimates corresponding to the renormalizable tree-level vector-boson mediated BLNV transitions are the Planck-scale induced $d = 5$ operators of the Yukawa type (class $\mathcal{O}_2$ in the list (2)), i.e.,

$$\sum_{f;i,j} \frac{\kappa_f^{ij}}{M_{Pl}} f_i f_j H S + h.c. \tag{16}$$

where $f_{i,j}$ denote the relevant representations hosting matter fermions in the specific model of interest, i, j are their generation indices, H is the (set of) scalar(s) hosting the SM Higgs doublet and S stands for the high-scale symmetry breaking Higgs; as before, the spinorial structure has been suppressed.

A. Implications

In the broken phase characterized by $\langle S \rangle \sim M_G$ these alter the renormalizable lagrangian in a rather specific manner, namely, by adding extra contributions to the effective Yukawa couplings

$$Y_f \to Y_f + \Delta Y_f \equiv \tilde{Y}_f \,, \tag{17}$$

where $\Delta Y_f^{ij} \equiv \kappa_f^{ij} \langle S \rangle / M_{Pl}$. In what follows we shall denote $\langle S \rangle / M_{Pl} \equiv \varepsilon$ and assume that this parameter does not exceed 1%.

[11] For the illustration purposes we shall for the moment ignore all the issues the minimal models often experience with the gauge unification constraints.

These shifts, obviously, inflict changes in the pairs of unitary transformations (11)

$$\{U, D, E, N\} \equiv F \to \tilde{F}\,,\ \{U_C, D_C, E_C, N_C\} \equiv F_C \to \tilde{F}_C \tag{18}$$

diagonalizing the modified Yukawa matrices

$$\tilde{Y}_f^{\rm diag} = \tilde{F}_C^T \tilde{Y}_f \tilde{F} \tag{19}$$

and, hence, the proton decay amplitudes (8)-(10) are altered accordingly.

B. Issues

Issue 1: Naively, one would assume that for $\Delta Y_f \sim \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ the entries of the rotation matrices F (and F_C) and $\tilde{F}$ (and $\tilde{F}_C$) should not differ by more than $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$. This, however, holds only if $Y_f^{\rm diag} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ which, obviously, is not satisfied for the Standard Model Yukawa matrices.

Concerning, for instance, the up-quark sector Yukawa couplings in the mass-diagonal basis, $(Y_u^{\rm diag})_{11} \ll \varepsilon$ and $(Y_u^{\rm diag})_{22} \lesssim \varepsilon$. This implies, e.g., that the U and $\tilde{U}$ matrices of (11) and (19) are related by

$$\tilde{U} = (\mathbb{1} + \varepsilon A_U) U R_U + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \tag{20}$$

where $\varepsilon A_U = -\varepsilon A_U^\dagger$ is a small antihermitean matrix (maintaining unitarity of $\tilde{U}$ up to the $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$ precision) and R_U is a unitary rotation in the 1-2 plane (with a possible phase factor at the 33 position); similar relation holds also for $\tilde{U}_C$. Hence, the 1-2 sector entries of the matrices $\tilde{U}$ and $\tilde{U}_C$ can differ from those of U and U_C by a lot! On the other hand, the pattern is not entirely free – their difference can still be subsumed into a pair of 1-2 rotations. The situation is even more dramatic in the charged lepton and down-quark sectors for which (assuming the electroweak-scale effective theory is the Standard Model and not a variant of its two-Higgs-doublet extension) all three eigenvalues $(Y_{d,e}^{\rm diag})_{ii}$ are in general small and, hence $\Delta Y_{d,e}$ can inflict large relative changes of all their entries; in such a case, the corresponding original and tilded mixing matrices are, in general, connected by arbitrary 3×3 unitary transformations! This, however, would lead to a total loss of credibility of any predictions based on specific shapes of the D and E (and D_C and E_C) matrices obtained within renormalizable-level fits.

Issue 2: Another aspect of the same mechanism is the fact that the 'shifted' Yukawa coupling matrices $\tilde{Y}_f$ (17) do not necessarily – in fact, almost never – possess the same set of eigenvalues as did the original Y_f's of the particular renormalizable-level fit one starts with. Hence, the estimates based on purely renormalizable fits may be viewed as overly conservative; their small perturbations which would be discarded at the renormalizable level due to the mismatch of the corresponding Yukawa eigenvalues with the measured (and properly evolved) fermionic spectrum may be entirely acceptable if reinterpreted as only parts of the total Yukawa structure (17).

C. Options

Does this all mean that the renormalizable-level estimates are worthless if the Planck-scale induced Yukawa corrections are later on admitted into the play? Interestingly, there are several reasons why the situation should not necessarily be as critical as it may seem at the first glance.

First, the changes inflicted by the Yukawa shifts ΔY_f (assuming that their absolute size is limited to at most $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$) on the renormalizable level mixings F (and F_C) are not entirely random and, in principle, may be parametrized by a relatively small set of parameters. This, as we have seen, is the situation in the up-quark sector where the net effect consists in a mere 1-2 rotation. As a matter of fact, since the down-quark and charged lepton $(Y_{d,e}^{\rm diag})_{33}$'s are also just at the critical $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ level, the situation may actually be rather similar to that of the up-type quarks if, for instance, the true $\langle S \rangle / M_{Pl}$ ratio is smaller than 1% or if $|\kappa_f^{ij}|$ happens to be somewhat below 1. This would, in turn, correspond to a qualitative change in the robustness of the renormalizable-level fits with greatly improved prospects for drawing non-trivial conclusions about the size of the corresponding theoretical uncertainties in tge relevant proton lifetime estimates.

Second, the 'shifted-case' rotations $\tilde{F}$ (and $\tilde{F}_C$) must still be subject to the same set of low-energy constraints (12) and, at the same time, the diagonal elements of thus rotated 'shifted' Yukawa's $\tilde{Y}_f$ should reproduce the quark and lepton spectrum. Hence, again, the correlations among the original and the 'shifted' mixing matrices can not be fully arbitrary.

Third, one may speculate about further constraints on the particular shape of ΔY_f's based on a certain theoretical prejudice such as a total flavour blindness of the Planck-scale physics or some kind of correlations between the flavour structure of the ΔY_f's with that of the renormalizable-level Yukawa couplings. As for the former, we do not intend to entertain it here as there are many (non-minimal) scenarios in which this may not be necessarily the case. Concerning the latter, this is what is usually (especially, in the SM context) called the minimal flavour violation (MFV) hypothesis [28]. As popular as it became recently for the conservative beyond-SM model building at scales not so much above M_Z, this concept turns out to be slightly problematic in classical GUTs. Unlike in the SM where there is only one Yukawa matrix breaking each of the accidental $U(3)$ flavour symmetries of the 'Yukawa-less' lagrangian and, as such, it plays a role of a unique spurion of the specific flavour symmetry breakdown, there are typically more Yukawa couplings with exactly the same charges with respect to the relevant flavour symmetries in the classical GUTs.

In this sense the strength of the MFV hypothesis is significantly reduced in the minimal $SO(10)$ (with SM fermions in spinors) because all three basic types of renormalizable-level Yukawas can get its share in making up all ΔY_f's, however, with different weights. The

same happens also in the simplest $SU(5)$ GUTs because there at least 2 Yukawas with the same flavour charges are usually implemented to dismantle the unwanted $d-l$ degeneracy.

From this perspective, the flipped $SU(5)$ looks like *the* unified scenario which is most suitable for an implementation of a variant of the MFV hypothesis; note that in this setting each of the SM charged fermion sectors is usually equipped with its own Yukawa coupling (but not necessarily, cf. [29]). On the other hand, these considerations turn out to be rather academic in practice because the high-scale symmetry breaking scalar S carries, in the simplest flipped $SU(5)$ scenarios, a $U(1)_X$ gauge charge which entirely forbids the $d = 5$ Yukawa-affecting structures like $\mathcal{O}_2$ in (2). In this sense, the proton lifetime estimates based on renormalizable Yukawa fits in the minimal flipped $SU(5)$ are particularly robust as the first Planck-induced structures that may generate uncontrolled shifts in the underlying Yukawa couplings emerge only at the $d = 6$ level.

D. Methods

In what follows, we shall exploit the simple observations above (namely, the first two) in a dedicated numerical analysis in which, whenever available, we shall take a specific renormalizable-level fit of the effective Yukawa structure of a certain unified model (i.e., a set of Y_f matrices) and ask ourselves how much the corresponding diagonalization matrices F and F_C change if an arbitrary extra ΔY_f due to a Planck-suppressed effective operator of the kind (16) is invoked. The only constraints on thus obtained 'shifted' Yukawa set $\tilde{Y}_f$ and the corresponding system of mass diagonalization matrices we shall impose are the conditions (12) and the requirement that the eigenvaules of $\tilde{Y}_f$'s resemble the SM fermionic spectra.

For each such choice od $\tilde{Y}_f$ we calculate the corresponding $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{F}_C$ matrices and substitute these into the basic formulae (8)-(10) and, hence, obtain a set of "perturbed" partial proton decay widths that we eventually report.

To that end, we shall not restrict ourselves to any specific ΔY_f shapes neither to any correlations among different charge sectors besides the obvious condition[12]

$$|\Delta Y| \equiv \max_{f=u,d,e} |\Delta Y_f| \lesssim \varepsilon \tag{21}$$

which, trivially, translates to

$$\max_{f=u,d,e} |\tilde{F}_C^* \tilde{Y}_f^{\rm diag} \tilde{F}^\dagger - Y_f| \lesssim \varepsilon \tag{22}$$

The results thus obtained are, therefore, the most 'pesimistic' but also the most robust ones.

Notice, however, that the relation above is not very practical for the actual determination of the $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{F}_C$ matrices. This has to do with the fact that, for a fixed Y_f corresponding to a good renormalizable-level fit of the Yukawa sector it is this matrix and not $\tilde{Y}_f$ that will (most of the time) have the right set of generalized eigenvalues corresponding to the correct SM fermion masses; hence, it is not a-priori clear what to substitute for $\tilde{Y}_f^{\rm diag}$ into the relation (22). However, there is a simple trick that can be used to overcome this issue.

Lemma: For each choice of $\Delta Y_f \sim \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ matrices (and, hence, $\tilde{Y}_f$) there exists an equivalent choice $\Delta Y'_f \sim \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ such that $Y_f + \Delta Y'_f$ matrices have the same generalized eigenvalues as Y_f's and, moreover, $Y_f + \Delta Y_f$ are diagonalized by the same set of $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{F}_C$ matrices as $Y_f + \Delta Y'_f$.

Hence, the $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{F}_C$ system can be determined from a much more convenient criterion

$$\max_{f=u,d,e} |\tilde{F}_C^* Y_f^{\rm diag} \tilde{F}^\dagger - Y_f| \lesssim \varepsilon \tag{23}$$

(with *known* shapes of $Y_f^{\rm diag}$) which, in the sense described by the Lemma, is equivalent to (22).

The proof of the statement above is rather simple: it is clear that for any specific $\Delta Y_f \sim \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ a 'shifted' set of quantities $\Delta Y'_f = \Delta Y_f - \tilde{F}_C^* \delta_f \tilde{F}^\dagger$ (with δ_f denoting some conveniently chosen $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ diagonal matrices, see below) again represents an $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ perturbation of the original fit Y_f which obeys $Y_f + \Delta Y'_f = Y_f + \Delta Y_f - \tilde{F}_C^* \delta \tilde{F}^\dagger$ and, hence

$$Y_f + \Delta Y'_f = \tilde{Y}_f - \tilde{F}_C^* \delta \tilde{F}^\dagger = \tilde{F}_C^* (\tilde{Y}_f^{\rm diag} - \delta_f) \tilde{F}^\dagger. \tag{24}$$

Thus, $Y_f + \Delta Y'_f$ are diagonalized by the same set of matrices as $Y_f + \Delta Y_f$. The last step is to set $\delta_f = \tilde{Y}_f^{\rm diag} - Y_f^{\rm diag}$, Q.E.D.

E. Results

1. *Simplest SO(10) GUTs.*

Given its relatively rigid Yukawa structure perhaps the most popular renormalizable GUT framework analyzed in great detail in a set of dedicated studies (cf. [26, 27]) is the $SO(10)$ GUT scenario. In this sense, it is an ideal setting for us here as, with a decent number of renormalizable-level Yukawa fits at hand, one may perform a scan over the space of the $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{F}_C$ matrices satisfying the constraint (23) in a reasonable manner. In

[12] We constrain the matrix N in (11) only by the PMNS matrix relation (12) since Y_ν is usually computed from different Yukawa couplings according to some type of seesaw mechanism, and the corresponding constraints on ΔY_ν would be more complicated and model dependent. This follows our strategy to consider the "worst case" scenario; in reality, the true uncertainties in the corresponding proton lifetime may be more constrained. Moreover, since the channels with neutrinos in the final state are always incoherently summed over in (6)-(7), we do not expect that further constraining N would have any significant effect.



FIG. 2. The $p \to \pi^0 e^+$ partial proton lifetime in case of the minimal $SO(10)$ unification as a function of the typical size of the Planck-induced perturbations to the relevant renormalizable Yukawa sector fits, cf. Sect. III E. Unless the coefficient of the relevant $d = 5$ operator happens to be accidentally smaller than $\mathcal{O}(1)$ the inflicted theoretical uncertainties turn out to be too large to allow this channel to be used as an efficient discriminator among this and other scenarios. This shape is rather similar to other decay channels with charged leptons in the final state. The same behaviour is also typical for the minimal versions of the SU(5) GUTs.



FIG. 3. The inverse of the sum of the partial $p \to X^+ \overline{\nu}$ decay widths for $X = \pi$, K in case of the minimal SO(10) unification. Note, however, that the behaviour of the *individual* neutrino-final-state channels is similar to the situation for the golden channel (see FIG. 2).



FIG. 4. The total proton lifetime in case of the minimal SO(10) unification.

doing so we shall fix $M_1 = M_2$ and assume their common value to be $\sim 0.3 \times 10^{16}$ GeV for which the current SK bound on the proton lifetime in the "golden" channel $p \to \pi^0 e^+$ is just saturated.

The plots in FIGs. 2 - 4 were obtained for the fits in which only the $10_H \oplus 126_H$ 'Yukawa-active' Higgs fields have been taken into account for the case of the normal neutrino mass hierarchy as they are given in [27]. However, we have also studied other options like, e.g., the fits in [27] with $10_H \oplus 126_H \oplus 120_H$ with either normal of inverted neutrino mass hierarchy; no significant qualitative differences were observed.

In FIGs. 2 and 3 we display the typical spread of specific partial proton decay widths (inverse) or their specific sums as functions of the (maximum) absolute size of the Planck-scale induced perturbations ΔY_f. It is clear that, in all cases, the uncertainty is reduced towards smaller ε's. Second, the typical step-like behaviour (visible namely in FIG. 3) corresponds to the crossing of the critical ε value for which the high degree of arbitrariness in $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{E}$ (as well as $\tilde{D}_C$ and $\tilde{E}_C$) mixing matrices described in Sect. III B shrinks to smaller subspaces.

The particular robustness of the decay modes with neutrinos in the final states is subsequently reflected in the robustness of the total proton lifetime in this class of scenarios, see FIG. 4. This is due to the fact that, in this scenario, these partial widths are never significantly suppressed with respect to those into charged leptons.

2. *SU(5) GUTs.*

The generic instability of the "golden" channel turns out to be inherent also to the ordinary $SU(5)$ GUTs. The behaviour in other channels is, however, strongly dependent on the details of the underlying renormalizable Yukawa fits[13]. If these feature a symmetric up-type Yukawa matrix, then the situation turns out to be even

[13] To our best knowledge, neither for the $SU(5)$ nor the flipped $SU(5)$ models any reasonably exhausting classification of working fits of the minimal potentially realistic and renormalizable Yukawa sectors is available in the literature. Hence, in these cases, we have started from a random point (i.e., a random choice of Y_f satisfying the basic constraints) and several such choices were checked. In case of the flipped $SU(5)$, $D = D_C$ was always assumed for the starting point while in case of the ordinary

	SU(5)	flipped SU(5)	SO(10)
Basic features of renormalizable fits			
no assumptions on Yukawas	~ 6	∞	> 6
"minimal" ren. models	< 1, unrealistic!	< 1	< 1
Robustness of renormalizable fits w.r.to Planck-scale effects			
ren. model + generic ΔY_f	$\sim 1 - 6$ depending on the starting point	∞ for $\lvert\Delta Y_f\rvert \gtrsim 10^{-2}$ (not the case in minimal models) $\lesssim 1$ for $\lvert\Delta Y_f\rvert \lesssim 10^{-4}$ ($d = 6$ operators)	~ 1.5

TABLE I. The range of total proton lifetime (orders of magnitude) for different unified models under different assumptions.

better than in the $SO(10)$ case since the Planck-scale induced uncertainty in the $p \to K^+\overline{\nu}$ partial width itself turns out to be constrained within less than one order of magnitude; this would be rather welcome from the perspective of final-state charged kaon searches at, e.g., the DUNE facility. If, however, $U \neq U_C$, this partial rate as well as the sum of the $K^+\overline{\nu}$ and $\pi^+\overline{\nu}$ partial rates can be very sensitive to the details of the shape of the ΔY_f, hence, the total proton width may also be rather fragile.

3. *Flipped SU(5) unifications.*

In spite of the generic absence of $d = 5$ Yukawa-altering operators like $\mathcal{O}_2$ in the simplest flipped $SU(5)$ unifications, cf. Sect. III C, for the sake of illustration we have nevertheless performed a similar analysis as that for the $SO(10)$ and $SU(5)$ GUTs above also for this framework, assuming general ΔY_f's at the $\lesssim 1\%$ level. For $10^{-2.6} \lesssim |\Delta Y_f| \leq 10^{-2}$ a significant instability of proton lifetime estimates based on the purely renormalizable structure was revealed. However, in the realistic case when the Planck-scale-induced perturbations come only at the $d = 6$ level (and, hence, $|\Delta Y_f| \leq 10^{-4}$ is to be expected), the uncertainties in the total lifetime were reduced to about 1 order of magnitude.

The results quoted in this Section for all cases addressed here are covered in Table I.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In the current study, we have elaborated on the robustness of the gauge-boson-mediated contributions to the proton decay width in the simplest renormalizable unified models based on the $SU(5)$, flipped-$SU(5)$ and $SO(10)$ gauge groups with respect to several types of uncertainties, in particular those due to the presence of only mildly constrained (up to order 1%) Planck-scale induced operators altering the renormalizable-level Yukawa structure of the specific model. These perturbations, as small as they may seem in comparison to the typically huge effects inflicted by the notorious gauge-kinetic-form-changing $d = 5$ operators, are still significant enough to trigger large changes in the mixing matrices governing the relevant baryon and lepton number violating currents and, hence, cripple in principle the credibility of any the existing renormalizable-level proton lifetime estimates.

Remarkably enough, a thorough numerical analysis reveals vastly different levels of robustness of the relevant proton decay widths across different variants of the simplest $SU(5)$ and $SO(10)$ scenarios. Out of these, the total proton lifetime estimates (but not necessarily the partial widths) obtained within the minimal renormalizable $SO(10)$ GUTs turn out to be quite trustable from the flavour structure point of view even if the Planck-scale induced effects are ignored in the first approximation. Remarkably enough, combining this observation with the spectacular robustness of the minimal $SO(10)$ GUT model [6] with respect to the gauge-kinetic effects, the leading Planck-scale induced theoretical uncertainties plaguing the renormalizable-level proton lifetime estimates in this scenario can be well within the "improvement windows" of the upcoming megaton-scale facilities such as Hyper-K or DUNE.

Acknowledgments

The work of M.M. has been partially supported by the Marie-Curie Career Integration Grant within the 7th European Community Framework Programme FP7-PEOPLE-2011-CIG, contract number PCIG10-GA-2011-303565, by the Research proposal MSM0021620859 of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic and and by the Foundation for support of science and research "Neuron". The work of H.K. is supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Technical University in Prague, grant No. SGS13/217/OHK4/3T/14.

$SU(5)$ we checked both the options $U = U_C$ and $U \neq U_C$ since, in realistic models, one often has $Y_u \neq Y_u^T$.

[1] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, "Unity of all elementary-particle forces", Phys. Rev. Lett. **32** (1974) 438–441.

[2] K. Abe, T. Abe, H. Aihara, Y. Fukuda, Y. Hayato, *et al.*, "Letter of Intent: The Hyper-Kamiokande Experiment – Detector Design and Physics Potential",

arXiv: 1109.3262 [hep-ex].
[3] DUNE, R. Acciarri *et al.*, "Long-Baseline Neutrino Facility (LBNF) and Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE)", arXiv:1512.06148 [physics.ins-det].
[4] Super-Kamiokande, H. Nishino *et al.*, "Search for Nucleon Decay into Charged Anti-lepton plus Meson in Super-Kamiokande I and II", Phys.Rev. **D85** (2012) 112001, arXiv:1203.4030 [hep-ex].
[5] S. Bertolini, L. Di Luzio, and M. Malinsky, "Light color octet scalars in the minimal SO(10) grand unification", Phys.Rev. **D87** (2013) 085020, arXiv:1302.3401 [hep-ph] [hep-ph].
[6] H. Kolešová and M. Malinský, "Proton lifetime in the minimal SO(10) GUT and its implications for the LHC", Phys.Rev. **D90** no. 11, (2014) 115001, arXiv:1409.4961 [hep-ph].
[7] Y. Aoki, E. Shintani, and A. Soni, "Proton decay matrix elements on the lattice", Phys.Rev. **D89** no. 1, (2014) 014505, arXiv:1304.7424 [hep-lat].
[8] I. Dorsner and P. Fileviez Perez, "How long could we live?", Phys. Lett. **B625** (2005) 88–95, arXiv:hep-ph/0410198 [hep-ph].
[9] I. Dorsner and P. Fileviez Perez, "Could we rotate proton decay away?", Phys. Lett. **B606** (2005) 367–370, arXiv:hep-ph/0409190 [hep-ph].
[10] P. Fileviez Perez, "Fermion mixings versus d = 6 proton decay", Phys. Lett. **B595** (2004) 476–483, arXiv:hep-ph/0403286 [hep-ph].
[11] K. S. Babu and S. Khan, "Minimal nonsupersymmetric $SO(10)$ model: Gauge coupling unification, proton decay, and fermion masses", Phys. Rev. **D92** no. 7, (2015) 075018, arXiv:1507.06712 [hep-ph].
[12] B. Gripaios and D. Sutherland, "An operator basis for the Standard Model with an added scalar singlet", JHEP **08** (2016) 103, arXiv:1604.07365 [hep-ph].
[13] X. Calmet, S. D. Hsu, and D. Reeb, "Grand unification and enhanced quantum gravitational effects", Phys.Rev.Lett. **101** (2008) 171802, arXiv:0805.0145 [hep-ph] [hep-ph].
[14] J. Chakrabortty and A. Raychaudhuri, "A Note on dimension-5 operators in GUTs and their impact", Phys.Lett. **B673** (2009) 57–62, arXiv:0812.2783 [hep-ph] [hep-ph].
[15] J. R. Ellis and M. K. Gaillard, "Fermion Masses and Higgs Representations in SU(5)", Phys. Lett. **B88** (1979) 315–319.
[16] R. Barbieri, J. R. Ellis, and M. K. Gaillard, "Neutrino Masses and Oscillations in SU(5)", Phys. Lett. **B90** (1980) 249–252.
[17] I. Dorsner and P. Fileviez Perez, "Unification without supersymmetry: Neutrino mass, proton decay and light leptoquarks", Nucl. Phys. **B723** (2005) 53–76, arXiv:hep-ph/0504276 [hep-ph].
[18] A. De Rújula, H. Georgi, and S. L. Glashow, "Flavor goniometry by proton decay", Phys. Rev. Lett. **45** (1980) 413–416.
[19] S. M. Barr, "A new symmetry breaking pattern for so(10) and proton decay", Phys. Lett. B **112** (1982) 219.
[20] J.-P. Derendinger, J. E. Kim, and D. Nanopoulos, "Anti-su(5)", Phys. Lett. B **139** (1984) 170–176.
[21] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, "Unified Interactions of Leptons and Hadrons", Ann. Phys. **93** (1975) 193–266.
[22] P. Nath and P. Pérez, "Proton stability in grand unified theories, in strings and in branes", Physics Reports **441** (2007) 191–317.
[23] I. Dorsner and P. Fileviez Perez, "Distinguishing between SU(5) and flipped SU(5)", Phys. Lett. **B605** (2005) 391–398, arXiv:hep-ph/0409095 [hep-ph].
[24] B. Bajc, M. Nemevsek, and G. Senjanovic, "Probing seesaw at LHC", Phys. Rev. **D76** (2007) 055011, arXiv:hep-ph/0703080 [hep-ph].
[25] I. Dorsner and P. Fileviez Perez, "Unification versus proton decay in SU(5)", Phys. Lett. **B642** (2006) 248–252, arXiv:hep-ph/0606062 [hep-ph].
[26] A. S. Joshipura and K. M. Patel, "Fermion Masses in SO(10) Models", Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 095002, arXiv:1102.5148 [hep-ph].
[27] A. Dueck and W. Rodejohann, "Fits to SO(10) Grand Unified Models", JHEP **09** (2013) 024, arXiv:1306.4468 [hep-ph].
[28] G. D'Ambrosio, G. F. Giudice, G. Isidori, and A. Strumia, "Minimal flavor violation: An Effective field theory approach", Nucl. Phys. **B645** (2002) 155–187, arXiv:hep-ph/0207036 [hep-ph].
[29] C. Arbeláez Rodríguez, H. Kolešová, and M. Malinský, "Witten's mechanism in the flipped SU(5) unification", Phys. Rev. **D89** no. 5, (2014) 055003, arXiv:1309.6743 [hep-ph].